

SUSTAINABILITY ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (SASB) INDEX

The International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) is an independent, private-sector standards-setting organization dedicated to enhancing the efficiency of capital markets by fostering high-quality disclosure of material sustainability information that meets investor needs. We disclose to the Standard for the Apparel, Accessories & Footwear industry, and select topics from the E-Commerce and Multiline and Specialty Retailers & Distributors industries, as defined by the Sustainable Industry Classification System (SICS), with reference information found in Gap Inc.'s 2022 ESG Report and our website).

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S) ¹
APPAREL, ACCESSORIES, AND FOOTWEAR					
Management of Chemicals in Product					
Discussion of processes to maintain compliance with restricted substances regulations	Discussion and Analysis	N/A	CG-AA-250a.1	<p>Gap Inc. chemical restrictions are informed by global regulations, as well as hazard- and risk-based considerations. They include our Restricted Substances List (RSL) and our Manufacturing Restricted Substances List (MRSL). Since 2008, Gap Inc. suppliers have been expected to comply with our RSL. Since 2015, we have asked all of our suppliers to comply with the ZDHC MRSL, and we are aligned with the AFIRM Group RSL. Our approach to implementation of our chemical policies includes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Industry partnerships and standards: We partner with industry groups, including the Apparel and Footwear International RSL Management (AFIRM) Group and the Sustainable Apparel Coalition (SAC) to implement a consistent set of tools and processes to support best practices, monitor supplier performance, and encourage use of safer chemicals. 2. Supplier engagement: We communicate chemical compliance requirements to our suppliers in our Code of Vendor Conduct (COVC) and Mill Minimum Expectations, and we require our suppliers to acknowledge and comply with these conditions. 3. Compliance and monitoring: Through third-party testing of products, product components, and wastewater effluent, as well as the use of industry data platforms, we monitor the performance of our supply chain and verify compliance with global chemical regulations and Gap Inc. chemical restrictions. <p>To learn more about this process, please see the Chemicals Management page.</p>	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Chemicals Management; p.43 ESG Webpages: Chemicals Management

¹ Note: ESG Report refers to Gap Inc.'s 2022 ESG Report; ESG Webpages refer to our Global Website, which hosts our Environment, Social, and Governance (ESG) Hub and Equality & Belonging Report; Annual Report refers to Gap Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2023; and Proxy Statement refers to the Notice of Annual Meeting of Gap Inc. Shareholders 2022 and 2023 Proxy Statements. Please find more information on our [Investor Relations](#) Webpages.

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)																				
Discussion of processes to assess and manage risks and/or hazards associated with chemicals in products	Discussion and Analysis	N/A	CG-AA-250a.2	<p>Gap Inc. uses the following processes to assess and manage potential risks and hazards associated with their product materials and chemicals.</p> <p>Input Management: The selection of better chemical inputs and starting materials is an essential part of reducing the use and discharge of hazardous chemicals.</p> <p>Process Management: Adherence to chemicals management best practices during manufacturing is critical for reducing both human and environmental risks.</p> <p>Output Management: The outputs of apparel and footwear manufacturing include finished products as well as wastewater. Gap Inc. restricts chemicals of known concern; for example we committed to eliminate PFCs from our supply chain by 2023. 100% of fabrics with PFC-based finishes were successfully converted or exited. At the Gap Inc. level, 95 percent of apparel and accessories units with water repellent or stain-resistant performance were produced without PFCs (up from 38 percent in 2021, and 7 percent in 2020). Less than 1 percent of apparel and accessories units at the Gap Inc. level had a water repellent or stain-resistant finish, down from less than 2 percent in 2021.</p>	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Chemicals Management; p.43 ESG Webpages: Chemicals Management																				
Environmental Impacts in the Supply Chain																									
Percentage of (1) Tier 1 supplier facilities and (2) supplier facilities beyond Tier 1 in compliance with wastewater discharge permits and/or contractual agreements	Quantitative	Percentage (%)	CG-AA-430a.1	For more than 15 years, we have required our denim laundries to participate in our Water Quality Program, which allows us to actively monitor and improve wastewater quality. In 2022, we added woven and garment dye laundries to this program.	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Water Stewardship; p.39 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Reduce and Replenish: Tackling Water Consumption; p.42 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Chemicals Management; p.43 ESG Webpages: Chemicals Management																				
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Total participants</th> <th>% Tier 1 meeting both</th> <th>% Tier 2 meeting both</th> <th>% Tier 1 and 2 meeting both conventional and ZDHC MRSL parameters</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2020</td> <td>54</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>94% met conventional, 89% met ZDHC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2021</td> <td>53</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>100% met conventional, 94% met ZDHC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2022</td> <td>156</td> <td>99%</td> <td>95%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	Total participants	% Tier 1 meeting both	% Tier 2 meeting both	% Tier 1 and 2 meeting both conventional and ZDHC MRSL parameters	2020	54	-	-	94% met conventional, 89% met ZDHC	2021	53	-	-	100% met conventional, 94% met ZDHC	2022	156	99%	95%	97%
				Year		Total participants	% Tier 1 meeting both	% Tier 2 meeting both	% Tier 1 and 2 meeting both conventional and ZDHC MRSL parameters																
				2020		54	-	-	94% met conventional, 89% met ZDHC																
				2021		53	-	-	100% met conventional, 94% met ZDHC																
2022	156	99%	95%	97%																					
Percentage of (1) Tier 1 supplier facilities and (2) supplier facilities beyond Tier 1 that have completed the Sustainable Apparel Coalition's Higg Index Facility Module (Higg FEM) assessment or an equivalent environmental data assessment	Quantitative	Percentage (%)	CG-AA-430a.2	Our Tier 1 and strategic Tier 2 suppliers complete the Sustainable Apparel Coalition's Higg Index 3.0 Facility Environmental Module (FEM) self-assessment to communicate their water and energy use, along with chemicals and waste management.	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Water Stewardship; p.39 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Reduce and Replenish: Tackling Water Consumption; p.42																				
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>% Tier 1 branded suppliers using Higg Index FEM</th> <th>% Tier 2 suppliers using Higg Index FEM</th> <th># verified responses</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2020</td> <td>100%</td> <td>70%</td> <td>280</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2021</td> <td>99% (558)</td> <td>91% (147)</td> <td>463 (64%) 351 Tier 1, 112 Tier 2)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2022</td> <td>100% (589)</td> <td>73% (152)</td> <td>557 (77% total; 441 Tier 1, 133 Tier 2)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Year	% Tier 1 branded suppliers using Higg Index FEM	% Tier 2 suppliers using Higg Index FEM	# verified responses	2020	100%	70%	280	2021	99% (558)	91% (147)	463 (64%) 351 Tier 1, 112 Tier 2)	2022	100% (589)	73% (152)	557 (77% total; 441 Tier 1, 133 Tier 2)				
				Year		% Tier 1 branded suppliers using Higg Index FEM	% Tier 2 suppliers using Higg Index FEM	# verified responses																	
				2020		100%	70%	280																	
				2021		99% (558)	91% (147)	463 (64%) 351 Tier 1, 112 Tier 2)																	
2022	100% (589)	73% (152)	557 (77% total; 441 Tier 1, 133 Tier 2)																						

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)			
Labor Conditions in the Supply Chain								
Percentage of (1) Tier 1 supplier facilities and (2) supplier facilities beyond Tier 1 that have been audited to a labor code of conduct, (3) percentage of total audits conducted by a third-party auditor	Quantitative	Percentage (%)	CG-AA-430b.1	We have communicated to our entire supplier base our expectations of their social and environmental performance, which are requirements of doing business with us. Facilities with a consistently low non-conformance rate may be assessed on a biannual basis; all facilities are audited prior to initial production.	ESG Report; Empowering Women & Human Rights, Human Rights; pp.19–20 ESG Report; Empowering Women & Human Rights, Assessment and Remediation; p.21 ESG Webpages; Data Tables			
						% of audits conducted by third-party assessor (such as ILO Better Work and SLCP)	% of suppliers beyond Tier 1 audited to COVC	
				Year		% Tier 1 branded suppliers audited to COVC		
				2020		81	71	N/A
				2021		83	68	N/A
2022	88%	88%	24%*					
* This represents the percentage of identified facilities beyond Tier 1 audited to our COVC through SLCP. We have identified and confirmed 249 mill facilities in our supply chain and recognize this metric may change as more information is available.								
Priority non-conformance rate and associated corrective action rate for suppliers' labor code of conduct audits	Quantitative	Rate	CG-AA-430b.2	We use a color-coded system to rate each facility's performance. High-performing facilities with no critical and few violations are rated green; average performers are yellow; and those that need to address one or more serious issues are red. As of fiscal 2022, less than 1 percent of facilities received red ratings (priority non-conformance rate) during assessments against our COVC.	ESG Report; Empowering Women & Human Rights, Human Rights; pp.19–20 ESG Report; Empowering Women & Human Rights, Assessment and Remediation; p.21 ESG Webpages; COVC Findings ESG Webpages; Data Tables			
				For issues open as of 2/1/2022, 81 percent of corrective action plans were resolved by 2/1/2023.				
				2/1/2022		2/1/2023	% resolution	
				# of open issues		# of open issues		
2187	425	81%						
Description of greatest (1) labor and (2) environmental, health, and safety risks in the supply chain	Discussion and Analysis	N/A	CG-AA-430b.3	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The labor practices and working conditions at the third-party suppliers that make apparel for Gap Inc. brands can affect reputation, business continuity, and operating costs for our brands and the company overall. Most workers in our supply chain are women, which is why in addition to labor practices, we have strong focus of empowering women to create lasting impact. Excessive overtime, fire and structural safety, and gender-based violence are high-risk supply chain issues that we have specific programs in place to address. The human rights impact of these risks can be severe, while these issues can also present operational risk to our supply chain and reputational risk to our portfolio of brands. 	ESG Report; Empowering Women & Human Rights, Human Rights; pp.19–20 ESG Report; Empowering Women & Human Rights, Assessment and Remediation; p.21			

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)
Raw Material Sourcing					
<p>(1)List of priority raw materials; for each priority raw material: (2) environmental and/or social factor(s) most likely to threaten sourcing, (3) discussion on business risks and/or opportunities associated with environmental and/or social factors, and (4) management strategy for addressing business risks and opportunities</p>	<p>Discussion and Analysis</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>CG-AA-440a.3</p>	<p>We focus our raw materials strategy on the top fibers that account for approximately 97 percent of our fiber consumption: cotton, polyester, nylon, and manufactured cellulosic fibers.</p> <p>PRIORITY RAW MATERIALS Environmental and/or Social Factors: Cotton: Changes in water access, drought, flooding, heat waves, soil deterioration, and other climate, water, and biodiversity impacts can affect availability, cost, and quality of cotton crops. Polyester: As a synthetic, petroleum-based synthetic fiber, polyester can contribute to anthropogenic pollution in the extraction and production phases, it does not naturally biodegrade, and there are concerns about microplastic pollution. Nylon: Conventional nylon is a petroleum-based synthetic fiber that contributes to anthropogenic pollution and greenhouse gases in the production phase and requires large amounts of water to produce. Manufactured cellulose: Derived from wood and wood pulp by-products, manufactured cellulose are susceptible to environmental factors such as drought, flooding, natural disasters, and disease, which could potentially impact the harvest of the materials substantively and is also connected to deforestation and decreasing biodiversity.</p> <p>Discussion of Business Risks and/or Opportunities: Cotton: Farming and processing cotton typically involves the use of large quantities of water as well as chemicals, and often takes place in regions facing water and climate risks. Farming and processing cotton typically involves the use of large quantities of water as well as chemicals, and often takes place in regions facing water and climate risks. Polyester: We expect the costs of raw material commodities like polyester and recycled polyester (rPET) to increase based on demand, availability, and environmental factors such as drought, flood, and natural disaster in our regions of procurement and production. The production of synthetic materials including polyester relies on fossil fuel and petroleum derivatives, and as such is susceptible to fluctuations in the cost and availability of petroleum products. Nylon: Conventional nylon’s reliance on large amounts of water in the production phase makes nylon manufacturing susceptible to environmental factors such as drought, flooding, and other water related infrastructure problems. There is opportunity for organizations to increase the sourcing of solution (dope)-dyed nylon, which uses a significantly lower amount of water in the production phase compared to conventional nylon. Manufactured cellulose: Uncertainty in materials procurement supply chains can be a point of potential risk for organizations when sourcing manufactured cellulose. We maintain a diverse supplier base, validate the source origin for our materials, and rely on industry certifications and branded cellulosic fibers sourcing which have proven to have a reduced environmental impact compared with conventional cellulose.</p>	<p>ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Raw Materials and Product; pp.46–47 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Climate Stewardship; pp.44–45 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Water Stewardship; pp.39–32 2022 CDP Climate Submission; C1.3, C2.2, C2.2a 2022 CDP Water Submission; W3.3b</p>

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)																										
(1)List of priority raw materials; for each priority raw material: (2) environmental and/or social factor(s) most likely to threaten sourcing, (3) discussion on business risks and/or opportunities associated with environmental and/or social factors, and (4) management strategy for addressing business risks and opportunities (cont.)	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	CG-AA-440a.3	<p>Management Strategy: Our holistic preferred fibers strategy uses life-cycle assessment (LCA) data on indicators including global warming potential, water use, and eutrophication, as well as evaluations for biodiversity, potential for circularity, chemistry, land use change, and social conditions within production. Gap Inc.'s Supply Chain and Sourcing team monitors and responds to risks for key raw materials using a multi-factor model that includes cotton, polyester, nylon, and cellulose prices. Gap Inc. takes a portfolio approach to cotton sourcing, with an emphasis on cultivating diverse sources of more sustainable cotton across several regions to reduce risk.</p> <p>We measure our progress by setting and working toward public goals to increase the percentage of more sustainable cotton and recycled polyester used in our products, and continue to ensure 100% of our manufactured cellulose are not sourced from ancient, endangered, high conservation value, and high carbon stock forests.</p> <p>Partnerships are key tenet of our approach. We have joined industry collaborations such as the Textile Exchange Recycled Polyester Challenge, rPET Round Table, and Biosynthetics Working Group to improve the sourcing of materials. We work with CanopyStyle to audit our sources, prioritize recycled alternatives, and exclusively work with "green shirt" ranked suppliers. Gap Inc. uses industry tools such as the Higg Materials Sustainability Index (MSI) and Textile Exchange's Preferred Fibers Matrix to evaluate our fiber portfolio. We are also interested in advancing opportunities around lower-impact nylon and elastane, two critical performance fibers with few existing alternative options. As R&D evolves on microfiber and microplastics shedding in garments, we will incorporate the latest insights into our preferred fiber strategies. We source recycled nylon and bio-based nylon in order to reduce our reliance on virgin synthetic materials, and are exploring other options for low-impact nylon based on cost, availability, and market demand for such fibers.</p>	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Raw Materials and Product; pp.46-47 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Climate Stewardship; pp.44-45 ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Water Stewardship; pp.39-42 2022 CDP Climate Submission ; C1.3, C2.2, C2.2a 2022 CDP Water Submission ; W3.3b																										
(1)Amount of priority raw materials purchased, by materials, and (2) amount of each priority raw material that is certified to a third-party environmental and/or social standard, by standard	Quantitative	Metric tons (t)	CG-AA-440a.4	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Priority raw material (name)</th> <th rowspan="2">Certification/Standard & Associated Discussion (Technical Protocol #3-3.3)</th> <th>AMOUNT CERTIFIED, BY STANDARD</th> <th>FY 2022</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>% certified</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Man-made cellulosic fibers</td> <td>Lenzing, TENCEL, Viscose, Birla LivaECO</td> <td></td> <td>14%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cotton</td> <td>Better Cotton Initiative (BCI), Global Organic Textile Standard (GOTS), Organic Content Standard (OCS)</td> <td></td> <td>81%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Polyester</td> <td>Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)</td> <td></td> <td>16%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nylon</td> <td>Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)</td> <td></td> <td>12%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wool</td> <td>Responsible Wool Standard (RWS), Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)</td> <td></td> <td>44%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Priority raw material (name)	Certification/Standard & Associated Discussion (Technical Protocol #3-3.3)	AMOUNT CERTIFIED, BY STANDARD	FY 2022		% certified	Man-made cellulosic fibers	Lenzing, TENCEL, Viscose, Birla LivaECO		14%	Cotton	Better Cotton Initiative (BCI), Global Organic Textile Standard (GOTS), Organic Content Standard (OCS)		81%	Polyester	Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)		16%	Nylon	Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)		12%	Wool	Responsible Wool Standard (RWS), Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)		44%	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Raw Materials and Product; pp.46-47
Priority raw material (name)	Certification/Standard & Associated Discussion (Technical Protocol #3-3.3)	AMOUNT CERTIFIED, BY STANDARD	FY 2022																												
			% certified																												
Man-made cellulosic fibers	Lenzing, TENCEL, Viscose, Birla LivaECO		14%																												
Cotton	Better Cotton Initiative (BCI), Global Organic Textile Standard (GOTS), Organic Content Standard (OCS)		81%																												
Polyester	Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)		16%																												
Nylon	Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)		12%																												
Wool	Responsible Wool Standard (RWS), Global Recycled Standard (GRS), Recycled Content Standard (RCS)		44%																												

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)			
Activity Metric								
Number of (1) Tier 1 suppliers and (2) suppliers beyond Tier 1	Quantitative	Number	CG-AA-000.A	Tier 1 branded-apparel supplier facilities are defined as direct-relationship cut-and-sew facilities and their associated embroidery, laundry, and screen-printing facilities.	ESG Report; Empowering Women, Assessment and Remediation; p.21			
						# of Tier 1 branded-apparel supplier factories	# of mill facilities (Tier 2)	% of business from mill facilities (Tier 2)
				2020		659	168	66%
				2021		705	231	65%
				2022		702	249	79%
E-COMMERCE								
Data Security								
Description of approach to identifying and addressing data security risks	Discussion and Analysis	N/A	CG-EC-230a.1	We do our best to provide our customers with a safe and convenient shopping experience. We have put in place a wide variety of technical and organizational security measures to help protect personal information we collect about our customers. The Board’s Audit and Finance Committee oversees data privacy and cybersecurity programs and regularly briefs the Board on material concerns. To respond to the threat of security breaches and cyberattacks, the Company maintains a program designed to protect and preserve the confidentiality, integrity, and continued availability of all information owned by, or in the care of, the company. This program also includes a cyber incident response plan that provides controls and procedures for timely and accurate reporting of any material cybersecurity incident. For further information, please see Gap Inc’s Code of Business Conduct .	Gap Inc. Webpages: Privacy Policy			
Employee Recruitment, Inclusion, and Performance								
Employee engagement as a percentage	Quantitative	Percentage (%)	CG-EC-330a.1	In 2022, 3,800+ employees filled out the HQ Pulse survey and 29,300+ store employees participated. A representative sample was obtained for both groups and is Gap Inc.’s own survey, conducted internally. We measure engagement through the following metrics: 93% responded yes to the statement: “I am proud to work here” 81% responded yes to the statement: “I intend to stay for the foreseeable future” Examples of questions asked in the pulse survey include: On a scale of 1–5, to what extent do you agree with the following statements: • I am proud to work here • I believe this company operates with integrity • My manager seeks out and values my perspective	ESG Report; Enabling Opportunity, Talent Development; pp.34–35			

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)		
(1) Voluntary and (2) involuntary turnover rate for all employees	Quantitative	Rate	CG-EC-330a.2	Total employee turnover rate: 96% Voluntary employee turnover rate: 87%	ESG Report; Governance, Social Data; pp.75-77		
Percentage of gender and racial/ethnic group representation for (1) management, (2) technical staff, and (3) all other employees	Quantitative	Percentage (%)	CG-EC-330a.3	Management: 56% white, 10% Asian, 10% Black, 17% Hispanic/Latinx, 65% Women, 35% Men Technical staff: 28% Women, 72% Men All employees: 44% white, 6% Asian, 16% Black, 25% Hispanic/Latinx, 75% Women, 24% Men, 1% Non-Binary	ESG Report; The Big Picture, Equality & Belonging; pp.15-16 ESG Report; Governance, Social Data; pp.75-77		
Product Packaging & Distribution							
Total greenhouse gas (GHG) footprint of product shipments	Quantitative	Metric tons (t) CO ₂ -e	CG-EC-410a.1	Year	Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO₂e)	Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO₂e)	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Climate Stewardship; pp.44-45
				2019	527,081	39,413	
				2020	527,081	105,309	
				2021	670,820	117,670	
				2022	2022 data will be available on our website later in 2023.		
				Upstream emissions calculated using primary metric ton/km information at a haul level from Gap internal systems, multiplied by Defra product transportation emission factors. This represents emissions from our suppliers to our distribution centers. Downstream emissions calculated using primary metric ton/km information at a haul level from Gap internal systems, multiplied by Defra product transportation emission factors.			
Discussion of strategies to reduce the environmental impact of product delivery	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	CG-EC-410a.2	Gap Inc. is a signatory of the Arctic Shipping Pledge. Created in partnership with the Ocean Conservancy, the pledge is a commitment to never intentionally send ships through the Arctic's fragile ecosystem. Gap Inc. is also a member of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) SmartWay Transport Partnership, an initiative which empowers businesses to move goods in the cleanest, most energy-efficient way possible to protect public health and reduce emissions. Gap Inc. was recognized by the EPA with the 2020 SmartWay Freight Partner Excellence Award.	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Climate Stewardship; pp.44-45		

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)		
Activity Metrics							
Entity-defined measure of user activity	Quantitative	Number	CG-EC-000.A	Year	Total global online orders (excluding franchises)		
				2020	86,261,667		
				2021	83,997,807		
				2022	80,235,600		
This data includes orders placed in the United States Specialty (Web and App), U.S. Factory, Canada, Japan, European Union, and United Kingdom. It does not include Franchises, cancellations, or returns.							
Number of shipments	Quantitative	Number	CG-EC-000.C	Year	Total global shipments to customers (excluding franchises)		
				2020	145,512,653		
				2021	124,463,015		
				2022	112,916,023		
This data includes packages transported in the United States, Canada, European Union, and Japan.							
MULTILINE AND SPECIALTY RETAILERS & DISTRIBUTORS							
Energy Management in Retail & Distribution							
(1)Total energy consumed, (2) percentage grid electricity, (3) percentage renewable	Quantitative	Gigajoules (GJ)	CG-MR-130a.1	Year	Total energy consumed at retail and distribution centers (GJ)	% renewable	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Climate Stewardship; pp.44-45 2022 CDP Climate Submission
				2021	3,038,091	33%	
				2022	Data will be available on our website later in 2022.	-	
Workforce Diversity & Inclusion							
Percentage of gender and racial/ethnic group representation for (1) management and (2) all other employees	Quantitative	Percentage (%)	CG-MR-330a.1	See CG-EC-330a.3		ESG Report; The Big Picture, Equality & Belonging; pp.15-16 ESG Report; Governance, Social Data; pp.75-77	

TOPIC/ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE	DATA	REFERENCE(S)		
Product Sourcing, Packaging, and Marketing							
Discussion of processes to assess and manage risks and/or hazards associated with chemicals in products	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	CG-MR-410a.2	See CG-AA-250a.2	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Chemicals Management; p.43		
Discussion of strategies to reduce the environmental impact of packaging	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	CG-MR-410a.3	We use a three-pronged strategy to address unnecessary and problematic plastic packaging waste: Elimination: We work to eliminate and replace unnecessary and problematic plastics with non-plastic material alternatives. Diversion: Wherever possible and where we have control over packaging's end-of-life, we divert problematic plastic from landfill through recycling. Conversion: We work to convert our use of necessary plastics to non-virgin material alternatives.	ESG Report; Enriching Communities, Circularity and Waste; pp.48-49		
Activity Metrics							
Number of: (1) retail locations and (2) distribution centers	Quantitative	Number	CG-MR-000.A	Year	Company-Operated Stores	Franchises	Annual Report 2022 ; p.28 Annual Report 2021 ; p.30 Annual Report 2020 ; p.2
				2020	3,100	615	
				2021	2,835	564	
				2022	2,685	667	
Total area of: (1) retail space and (2) distribution centers	Quantitative	Square feet	CG-MR-000.B	Year	Retail Space	Distribution Center Space	Annual Report 2022 ; p.28 Annual Report 2021 ; p.23 Annual Report 2020 ; p. 22
				2020	34.6 million	9.3 million	
				2021	33.3 million	9.3 million	
				2022	31.8 million	9.5 million	